

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,628	11/07/2001	Ronald Rakos	BSI-481US	4873
7590 06/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Christopher R. Lewis			HO, UYEN T	
Ratner & Prestia One Westlakes, Berwyn, Suite 301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 980			3731	
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{A}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Sugaran	10/010,628	RAKOS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be apply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi ate, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	<u>April 2004</u> .					
, <u>-</u>	is action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- ''					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-38 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached On	ice Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		θ(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		cation No				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bure	=	cived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	il Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 4/2/04 has been fully considered. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hojeibane '363 in view of Thornton et al. (6,015,431).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hojeibane '363 in view of Thornton et al. '431. Hojeibane discloses all the limitations of the claims except a presence of stent cover and seal ring as claimed. Thornton et al. disclose a bifurcated stent including stent cover and seal ring in order to provide a sealing relationship between trunk portions of the bifurcated stent (figs. 21-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ stent cover and seal ring into Hojeibane's bifurcated stent apparatus in order to provide sealing relationship between trunk portions so that to provide a better fluid bypass at a treated area.

In regard to claim 23, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Hojeibane reference in view of Thornton et al. by having a first opening comprises a greater open area than the second opening since applicants have not disclose that having the first opening comprises a greater open area than the second

Art Unit: 3731

opening solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the endoluminal device would perform equally well with the first opening comprises a greater open area than or a equal open area with the second opening.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3731